# Why do we need research in Preservation Science?

## Allison Hubel, PhD

Director, Biopreservation Core Resource (www.biocor.net)







## Myths about preservation





### Myth #1: Preservation is a 'solved problem'. We have effective methods of preservation for all the biospecimens of interest



## Stabilization of biomolecules



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA ■ Driven to Discover<sup>™</sup>

# Stabilization of biomolecules



### Common issues

- Cold denaturation Molecule/ice interactions Molecule/molecule interactions
- Effects of co-solutes
- Effect of cooling rate
- Influence of pH shifts



## Stabilization of cells



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA ■ Driven to Discover<sup>™</sup>

# Stabilization of cells

Many cells cannot be preserved effectively: platelets, granulocytes, hESCs, iPS cells, gametes, etc.

We do not understand why certain cells can be effectively preserved and others not

We do not understand why survival of the same cell type varies from species to species

➔ We cannot develop scientific protocols to preserve cells refractive to current approaches

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>ss</sup>

## Stabilization of tissues

### Viability:

We can preserve islets of langerhans and achieve post thaw function
Preserving other tissue involves preservation of scaffold with little post thaw cellular function
Cells isolated from a tissue

•Cells isolated from a tissue survive much more readily than cells embedded in a tissue **Biomarkers:** Genes and proteins can change significantly within 30 mins of ischemia



We simply cannot preserve tissues and organs and we do not understand why







<u>Myth #2:</u> Conventional methods of preserving biospecimens are suitable for new and emerging applications



# DMSO as a stabilization agent

Most cellular biospecimens are preserved with DMSO

DMSO has well documented toxicity (cellular and infusion)

DMSO is also associated with epigenetic events and may irreversibly denature macromolecules









### Myth #3: All biospecimens are equal\*

### \*well some are more equal than others.....



## Rapid changes in biomarkers



Whole blood stored at RT for 2 h
35 different factors differed between the two samples
Protease inhibitors had little effect
Effects were cell mediated



By 15 min: 10%–15% By 30 min: 20% Detectable genes and proteins differed significantly from the baseline values.

Spruessel, BioTechniques, 36:1030, 2004

Ayache, Am J Clin Path, 174, 2006.



Biospecimen procurement (e.g. pre-analytical variables)

Controlling and specifying preanalytical variables is important.

But is it sufficient??

Other elements of the protocol are also important (cooling rate, storage conditions, etc).

Conundrum: setting the bar too high has its downsides.



**Myth** prvation is a 'solved problem". athods of preservation for We all the terest <u>Myth #2:</u> Cor. 's of preserving biospecimens are っつ emerging applications Myth #3: All biospecimens and



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>54</sup>

## Freezer Farms



Millions of samples are in storage (with more added every day)

What (if any) usefulness do these specimens have?



Advancing preservation science

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>54</sup>

### Advancing preservation science: driving forces





# How do we advance preservation science?



Advancing the science, technology and practice of bio-preservation



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>™</sup>

## Education

Preservation is not a 'cold black box'



<u>Understanding current scientific</u> principals is critical to:

Improving/harmonizing
biospecimen quality and best practices
Filling in the gaps in our knowledge



# Education

### Preservation of molecular, cellular and tissue biospecimens

### May 18-20, 2010 Minneapolis, MN

### Topics covered:

Liquid storage of biospecimens. Fundamentals of cryopreservation Protocol development Quality systems Clinical cell cryopreservation Repository design Tissue preservation Preservation of biomarkers Regulatory issues for cell/tissues

#### <u>Lecturer</u>

Allison Hubel, University of Minnesota Charles Lee, U of North Carolina, Charlotte Ian Pope, CoreCryolab, Toronto Amy Skubitz, University of Minnesota Fran Rabe, University of Minnesota Alptekin Aksan, University of Minnesota David McKenna, University of Minnesota Diane Kadidlo, University of Minnesota

### This course has been endorsed by ISBER



## Service

A series of 'little things' may make the difference
→ Ask the BioCoR expert

➔ Have BioCoR develop a protocol for your biospecimen



## Research

Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid
In contact with affected organ
Potential biomarkers identified: proteins, cells, lipids
Banked but not used for diagnosis monitoring treatment



Alveolar macrophages J. Resp. Dis, 29:1, 2008.

<u>Integrated team:</u> preservation scientists, biomedical researchers searching for biomarkers and clinicians collecting biospecimens



## Influence of freezing on protein structure



Proteins in frozen samples exhibit higher levels of β-sheet
Trehalose/glycerol reduced changes in proteins
Repeated freeze thaw cycles influenced protein in sample

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>sm</sup>

### Molecular Mechanisms of Protein Damage Microcompartmentalization in Frozen Protein Solutions



Dong, Hubel, Bischof, Aksan "Freezing-Induced Phase Separation and Spatial Microheterogeneity in Protein Solutions" J. Phys. Chem. B published online on 07/02/09 DOI: 10.1021/jp809710d



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>ss</sup>

## Acknowledgments

### **Funding:**

Academic Health Center Medical School Institute of Technology Medical Device Center Institute for Engineering in Medicine

### **Collaborators:** Alptekin Aksan Chris Wendt

Jinping Dong Xiao Zhong

